IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST OCTOBER 2007 / 9TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 788 of 2002(J)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.15211/2002
DATED 30.07.2002.
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

SUKUMARAN PILLAI,
S/O. PADMANABHA PILLAI, PERUMBRAKUNNEL HOUSE,
CHIRAKKADAVU EAST, PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI. SEBASTIAN THOMAS

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS IN O.P:
----

1. **THE CHIRAKKADAVU GRAMA PANCHAYAT,**
   REP.BY THE SECRETARY, CHIRAKKADAVU GRAMA
   PANCHAYAT, CHIRAKKADAVU, PONKUNNAM P.O.,
   KOTTAYAM DIST.

2. **THE SECRETARY,**
   DISTRICT PANCHAYAT, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.I.ABDUL SALAM

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 31/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

R.P. NO. 788 OF 2002 IN
O.P. NO. 15211 OF 2002
&
C.M.P. No. 54310 OF 2002

Dated this the 31st day of October, 2007.

O R D E R

C.M.P.54310/2002

This is a petition to condone the delay of 40 days in filing the review petition. On merits it is seen that the request of the review petitioner is to insist on the construction of a retaining wall; whether the property is surveyed by the Taluk Surveyor or not.

2. The period fixed in the judgment was only two months. The judgment is of the year 2002. Apparently nothing survives on merits. Therefore, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, the review petition is also dismissed.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

smp

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

R.P. NO. 788 OF 2002 IN
O.P. NO. 15211 OF 2002
&
C.M.P. No. 54310 OF 2002

O R D E R

31.10.2007